**Conference name -** XXIX International scientific and practical conference "Science, theory and practice" June 08-11, 2021, Tokyo, Japan Section name – Economic Sciences

# METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH TO EVALUATION AND STRATIFICATION OF HEI OF UKRAINE BY LEVEL OF INNOVATIVE ACTIVITY

#### Olena Rayevnyeva,

D.Sc. (Economics), Professor Simon Kuznets Kharkiv National University of Economics, <u>olena.raev@gmail.com</u>

### Olha Brovko,

PhD (Economics), Associate professor, Simon Kuznets Kharkiv National University of Economics, <u>ol.iv.brovko@gmail.com</u>

#### Iryna Aksonova,

PhD (Economics), Associate professor, Simon Kuznets Kharkiv National University of Economics ivaksyonova@gmail.com

Modern universities, in order to meet the requirements of the time, must perform not only the classical functions (education, research, education), but also acquire new features - innovation and entrepreneurship. Innovative development is an integral part of meeting a wide range of national interests of the state. The driving force behind the development of modern universities is innovation, which will allow us to move to a new generation of models. For Ukraine, which is at the stage of radical, transformational transformations of the higher education system, this is especially important, as there is a chance to make a significant breakthrough in the direction of creating an attractive international and national arena in accordance with the best world standards and trends. All this justifies the relevance of thorough research of the world practice of evaluation of innovative HEI.

The purpose of the methodological approach to the assessment and stratification of HEI of Ukraine is to determine the level of innovative activity of HEI and the formation of homogeneous universities at this level. Scheme of methodologies shown in fig. 1.

*Stage 1*. The evaluation of national educational institutions was carried out according to the selected indicators in terms of each component for the period 2012-2013, 2014-2015 and 2018-2019:

for the first group of indicators, which characterizes the educational activity of HEI: index of quality of human resources of HEI, index of quality of training, number of submitted applications to HEI, number of those who joined HEI, ratio of contractors and state employees [1, 2];



Figure 1. The scheme of stages of the methodical approach on definition of attractiveness of the national system of higher education

the second group of indicators, which characterizes scientific and technical activity: number of publications in Scopus, number of citations in Scopus, Worse index (h-index) [3, 4];

the third group of indicators, which characterizes the innovation activity: the number of patents, transparency rating or openness rating, rating of differences [3, 5, 1];

the fourth group of indicators that characterize international activity: index of international recognition, Impact Rank, Presence Rank, number of international students [6, 7].

Based on the results of the analysis, integrated indicators have been calculated, the value of which provides information on stratification and assessment of the level of innovation-active free economic zones before and after the enactment of the Law on Higher Education (2014), and to date.

*Stage 2.* To build an integrated indicator for the evaluation of innovatively active PHEI, it is proposed to use the method of taxonomy [8], which allows to reduce the set of features of the studied phenomenon to one synthetic feature. The algorithmic model for calculating the integrated indicator by the taxonomy method is presented in Fig. 2.



Figure 2. Algorithmic model for calculating the integrated indicator

Obtaining an integrated indicator of evaluation of innovatively active higher education institution is based on the formula (1):

$$Q_{i} = \frac{c_{i}}{\bar{c} + 3 \cdot S}, \quad c_{i} = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{m} (z_{ij} - z_{je})^{2} \cdot w_{j}}, \quad \bar{c} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}, \qquad S = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (c_{i} - \bar{c})^{2}}, \quad (1)$$

where  $c_i$  – distance from the reference point;  $z_{je}$  – the reference value of the j-th indicator, which is formed as follows:  $z_{je} = \max_i z_{ij}$ , if the j-th sign is a stimulant,  $z_{je} = \min_i z_{ij}$ , if the j-th sign is a destimulator;  $w_j$  – weight value of the j-th indicator;  $j = [1 \div m]$ ;  $i = [1 \div n]$ ,  $z_{ij}$  – standardized value of the j-th indicator for the i-th object, obtained by the formula (2):

$$z_{ij} = \frac{x_{ij} - \bar{x}_j}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^n (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_j)^2}},$$
(2)

where  $x_{ij}$  – the value of the j-th indicator for the i-th object,  $\bar{x}_j$  – the average or reference value of the j-th indicator.

The integrated indicator obtained by this method is a normalized value, ie varies from 0 to 1, which allows to determine the tendency to change its value as for each component of institutional autonomy, and for all components in general.

Using this method, we obtain a system of integrated indicators containing the following set:

$$\{I_{general}, I_{osv}, I_{scien and tech}, I_{innov}, I_{international}, \}$$
(3)  
where  $I_{general}$  – overall integrated indicator of HEI innovation activity;

 $I_{0SV}$  – local integrated indicator that characterizes educational activities;

Iscien and tech-local integrated indicator that characterizes scientific and technical

activities;

 $I_{innov}$  - local integrated indicator that characterizes innovation activity;

 $I_{international}$  - local integrated indicator that characterizes international activities.

In the table 1 shows the dynamics of the overall integrated indicator for assessing the innovative activity of higher education institutions in Ukraine.

Table 1.

Dynamics of the general integrated indicators of an estimation of educational

		-		
	2012	2014	2018	The rate of change
HEI	2012-	2014-	2018-	in 2019 compared to
	2015	2013	2019	2012,%
Berdyansk State Pedagogical University	0.473	0.422	0.496	1.050
Berdyansk University of Management and Business	0.428	0.468	0.503	1.176
Bila Tserkva National Agrarian University	0.415	0.492	0.515	1.241
Bukovynian State Medical University	0.399	0.413	0.468	1.173
Bukovynian State University of Finance and Economics	0.476	0.462	0.472	0.993
Open International University of Human				
Development "Likraine"	0.471	0.433	0.379	0.804
Vinnytsia State Pedagogical University named after				
Mykhailo Kotsynbynsky	0.462	0.473	0.421	0.912
Vinnytsia National Agrarian University	0.451	0.425	0.440	0.975
Vinnytsia National Medical University	0.431	0.423	0.440	0.975
	0.482	0.436	0.479	0.992
Пирогова				
 Kuju Stata Acadomy of Water Transport named after				
Hetman Petro Konasheyych Sagaidachny	0.415	0.350	0.499	1.204
Kyiy Modical University UANM	0.570	0.401	0.607	1.066
Kylv Medical Oliversity OANM	0.570	0.401	0.007	0.741
Vodum Hotmon Kviy National University of	0.557	0.409	0.415	0.741
Foonomics	0.599	0.423	0.525	0.876
Kviv National Linguistic University	0.348	0.353	0.341	0.081
Kylv National Linguistic University	0.548	0.355	0.341	0.981
Kylv National University of Construction and	0.319	0.550	0.298	0.374
Architecture	0.454	0.402	0.493	1.087
Taras Shevchenko National University of Kviv	0.695	0.728	0.644	0.927
Kviv National University of Culture and Arts	0.093	0.720	0.535	1.111
Kyiv National University of Theater Film and	0.101	0.571	0.000	
Television named after I.K. Karpenko-Karv	0.424	0.402	0.466	1.098
Kyiv National University of Technology and Design	0.497	0.379	0.387	0.779
Borys Hrinchenko University of Kyiv	0.452	0.335	0.426	0.942
Kyiv University of Law of the National Academy of	0.405	0.000	0.510	1.050
Sciences of Ukraine	0.485	0.329	0.510	1.052
	•			1
Kharkiv National University of Economics named	0.404	0.000	0.005	0.01
after S. Kuznets	0.431	0.328	0.395	0.917
Kharkiv National Medical University	0.360	0.382	0.454	1.260
Kharkiv National Pedagogical University named after	0.424	0.200	0.257	0.022
GS Frying pans	0.434	0.308	0.357	0.822

Analysis of the overall integrated indicators for assessing the innovative activity of HEI (see Table 1) showed that not all higher education institutions have a positive

growth rate in the 2018-2019 academic year compared to the 2012-2013 academic year. HEIs that have the maximum tendency to increase are highlighted in bold in the table and they form the core of the national higher education system. The calculation of local integrated indicators by type of activity showed that most higher education institutions have a positive dynamics in terms of educational activity, but the opposite trend is observed for other local indicators.

The revealed tendency of change of integral coefficients will allow to allocate those indicators and local indicators in the field of educational, scientific and technical, international and innovative activities to which it is necessary to pay attention at managerial decisions on increase of innovative activity of a certain HEI.

*Stage 3.* Econometric modeling was used to assess the impact of local integrated indicators of evaluation of educational, scientific, technical, innovative and international activities on the overall integrated indicator of evaluation of innovation-active HEI. The results of calculation of parameters of models of dependence of the general indicator of development of innovatively active HEI from local integrated are presented in tab. 2.

The results of building an economic and mathematical model					
	b*	Std. Err. of b*	b	Std. Err. of b	p-value
	2	012-2013 academi	ic year ( $R^2 = 0.753$ )		
Intercept			0.079	0.017	0.0001
I <sub>osv</sub>	0.363	0.038	0.254	0.026	0.0005
I <sub>scien and tech</sub>	0.362	0.038	0.189	0.019	0.0005
I <sub>innov</sub>	0.586	0.0365	0.233	0.015	0.0032
I <sub>international</sub>	0.448	0.037	0.165	0.014	0.0041
	2	014-2015 academi	ic year ( $R^2 = 0.796$ )		
Intercept			0.023	0.012	0.0651
I <sub>osv</sub>	0.345	0.041	0.212	0.025	0.0025
I <sub>scien and tech</sub>	0.323	0.040	0.255	0.032	0.0035
I <sub>innov</sub>	0.482	0.033	0.247	0.017	0.0002
I <sub>international</sub>	0.422	0.033	0.236	0.018	0.0012
2018-2019 academic year ( $R^2=0,841$ )					
Intercept			0.057179	0.021598	0.008795
I <sub>osv</sub>	0.243852	0.044906	0.196137	0.036119	0.001470
Iscien and tech	0.369495	0.043423	0.322592	0.037911	0.001789
I <sub>innov</sub>	0.550734	0.043445	0.493694	0.015280	0.003470
<i>I</i> <sub>international</sub>	0.460407	0.045032	0.231784	0.022671	0.004280

The results of building an economic and mathematical model

Table 2.

Thus, the system of economic and mathematical models of the impact of educational, scientific, technical, innovative and international activities on the general level of innovation-active educational institution are as follows:

For the 2012-2013 academic year:

 $I_{general} = 0.079 + 0.254 * I_{osv} + 0.189 * I_{scien and tech} + 0.233 * I_{innov} + 0.165 * I_{international}$ For the 2014-2015 academic year:

 $I_{general} = 0.023 + 0.212 * I_{osv} + 0.255 * I_{scien and tech} + 0.247 * I_{innov} + 0.236 * I_{international}$ For the 2018-2019 academic year:  $I_{general} = 0.057 + 0.196 * I_{osv} + 0.323 * I_{scien and tech} + 0.494 * I_{innov} + 0.232 * I_{international}$ 

Based on the results of the constructed models, the following conclusions can be made:

1) in the 2012-2013 academic year, the overall activity of HEI was significantly influenced by educational activities, followed by innovation. The rating of the impact of the analyzed activities on the innovative activity of HEI is presented in the form of a tuple:

$$\{I_{osv} \rightarrow I_{innov} \rightarrow I_{scien and tech} \rightarrow I_{international}\} = I_{general}$$

2) in the 2014-2015 academic year due to changes in the educational space (adoption of the law "On Higher Education") innovation-active level of the educational institution depended on scientific and technical activities, ie the system of influence was as follows:

$$\{I_{scien and tech} \rightarrow I_{innov} \rightarrow I_{international} \rightarrow I_{osv} \} = I_{general}$$

3) in the 2018-2019 academic year, the level of innovation-active free economic zone is influenced by the innovative activity of the university:

 $\{I_{scien and tech} \rightarrow I_{international} \rightarrow I_{osv} \} = I_{general}$ 

All this confirms the fact that innovation today is the dominant factor in the development of higher education, the most important factor in its successful modernization in the global transformation of higher education. If earlier HEI could function successfully, focusing mainly on educational potential, today there is a need for their interaction with stakeholders, which allows to form a business-type university.

*Stage 4.* We will stratify higher education institutions at the national level by year using the clustering method. The initial information of stratification was made by 4 local integrated indicators on 193 institutions of higher education, it is proved that it is expedient to classify the initial set on 3 groups (fig. 3).



Figure 3. Dendrogram of stratification of higher education institutions for the

## 2012-2013 academic year

HEI stratification was carried out for the 2014-2015 and 2018-2019 academic years. A fragment of the result of HEI stratification is presented in table. 3.

Table 3.

Academic years				
2012-2013	2014-2015	2018-2019		
1	2	3		
1 clust	er of HEI with the highest innovation	activity		
Berdyansk University of	Berdyansk University of	Berdyansk University of		
Management and Business,	Management and Business,	Management and Business,		
Bukovynian State University of	Bukovynian State University of	Bukovynian State University of		
Finance and Economics,	Finance and Economics, Vinnytsia	Finance and Economics,		
Vinnytsia National Medical	National Medical University. E.	Vinnytsia National Medical		
University. E. Pirogov, Kyiv	Pirogova,	University. E. Pirogov, Vinnytsia		
International University, Kyiv	Vadym Hetman Kyiv National	National Technical University,		
National Economic University	University of Economics, Taras	Vadym Hetman Kyiv National		
named after Vadym Hetman,	Shevchenko National University of	University of Economics, Taras		
Kyiv National Linguistic	Kyiv, National Academy of	Shevchenko National University		
University, National Technical	Statistics, Accounting and	of Kyiv, Lviv State University of		
University "Kharkiy Polytechnic	Auditing. National Academy of	Physical Culture, National		
Institute", National Technical	Management, Bogomolets	Academy of Statistics,		
University of Ukraine "Kviv	National Medical University.	Accounting and Auditing.		
Polytechnic Institute". National	Kharkiv Polytechnic National	National Academy of		
University "Kyiv-Mohyla	University, National Technical	Management, Bogomolets		
Academy". National University	University of Ukraine "Kviv	National Medical University.		
Lviv Polytechnic ". National	Polytechnic Institute". National	Kharkiv Polytechnic Institute		
University of Life and	University "Kviv-Mohvla	National Technical University ".		
Environmental Sciences of	Academy". Kharkiy National	National Technical University of		
Ukraine, Yaroslay the Wise	University named after VN	Ukraine" Kviv Polvtechnic		
National University of Law and	Karazina and others.	Institute "and others.		
others.				
2nd cluster	of free economic zones with average is	nnovative activity		
Lviv National Agrarian	Kviv National Linguistic	Ivan Franko National		
University, Lviv National	University, Kviv National	University of Lviv, Mariupol		
University of Veterinary	University of Culture and Arts,	State University, International		
Medicine and Biotechnology	Lutsk National Technical	University of Economics and		
named after SZ Gzhytsky. Ivan	University, Lviv Institute of	Humanities.		
Franko National University of	Economics and Tourism.	National Metallurgical		
Lviv, Mykolaviv National	Ternopil National University	Academy of Ukraine, National		
Agrarian University.	of Economics. Ukrainian State	Aviation University.		
International University of	University of Chemical	Ternopil National		
Economics and Humanities.	Technology, Kharkiy National	University of Economics.		
National Aviation University.	Automobile and Road University.	Ukrainian State University of		
National Aerospace University.	Kherson State University.	Chemical Technology, Kharkiy		
ME Zhukovsky "Kharkiv	Chernihiv National Technological	National Automobile and Road		
Aviation Institute" and others.	University, Petro Mohyla Black	University, Kherson State		
	Sea State University and others.	University, Chernihiv National		
		University of Technology and		
		others.		
3rd cluste	r of free economic activity with low in	iovation activity		
Vinnytsia State	State University of Economics	Vinnytsia State Pedagogical		
Pedagogical University named	and Technology of Transport	University named after Mykhailo		
after Mykhailo Kotsvubynsky	Dniprodzerzhvnsk State	Kotsyubynsky. Vinnytsia		
Vinnytsia National Agrarian	Technical University,	National Agrarian University,		

Results of stratification of innovation-active HEIs of Ukraine (fragment)

University, Glukhiv National	Dnipropetrovsk State Institute of	Glukhiv National Pedagogical
Pedagogical University,	Physical Culture and Sports,	University

Continuation	of Table	3.

1	2	3
State University of	Donbas State Academy of	Dniprodzerzhynsk State
Economics and Technology of	Mechanical Engineering, Donbas	Technical University, Donbas
Transport Donbass State	National Academy of Civil	State Academy of Mechanical
Engineering Academy, Donbass	Engineering and Architecture,	Engineering, Donbas National
National Academy of Civil	Donbas State Technical	Academy of Civil Engineering
Engineering and Architecture,	University,	and Architecture, Donbas State
Ivano-Frankivsk National	Donetsk State Music	Pedagogical University,
Technical University of Oil and	Academy named after SS	Mykolayiv National
Gas,	Prokofiev, Donetsk State	University named after VO
Lviv National Medical	University of Management,	Sukhomlinsky, International
University named after Danylo	Classical Private University,	University of Science and
Halytsky, Mykolayiv National	Kremenets Regional Humanitarian	Technology, International
University named after V.O.	and Pedagogical Academy. Taras	University of Finance, National
Sukhomlinsky, International	Shevchenko, Mykolayiv National	Aerospace University. ME
University of Science and	University named after VO	Zhukovsky "Kharkiv Aviation
Technology, International	Sukhomlinsky, International	Institute", Odessa National
University of Finance and	University of Science and	Maritime University and others.
others.	Technology, International	
	University of Finance and others.	

In the 2014-2015 academic year, such HEIs as: Petro Mohyla Black Sea State University  $(2 \rightarrow 3)$ , Odessa National University of Economics  $(2 \rightarrow 3)$ , Kyiv National University of Culture and Arts  $(1 \rightarrow)$  erred in their position on innovation and activity. 2), Kyiv National University of Theater, Film and Television named after I.K. Karpenko-Kary  $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ , Luhansk Taras Shevchenko National University  $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ . Such changes were related to the adoption of the new Law of Ukraine "On Higher Education", the development of the Concept for the development of higher education for the period 2015-2025, which set new goals and performance ratios for higher education on the way to European and global educational space for ensuring high-tech and innovative development of the country, the needs of society. Today the main task of innovation-active activity of the university is to acquire scientific knowledge by conducting research and development and their direction on creation and introduction of new competitive technologies, ensuring innovative development of society and training of specialists of innovative type, which in turn plays an important role in formation. ranking of the university among other HEI.

Thus, the stratification of HEI of Ukraine allowed to obtain the following results:

a set of national HEIs that constantly carry out various innovations in certain activities. As a result, they are the leading HEIs in the world rankings and occupy high positions in national rankings. Such HEIs are the driving force of further development of the national system of higher education in the direction of integration into the world educational and scientific space;

The obtained values of integrated performance indicators of HEI of Ukraine establish not only the rating of universities according to the level of their innovative activity, but can also be used as reference values for HEIs with a lower rating. That is, on the basis of the obtained values in further research will be formed systems of management decisions to support and further strengthen the innovation activity of a particular HEI within their resource constraints..

References:

1. Полякова Г. А. Показники якості освіти та освітнього середовища вищого навчального закладу в контексті компетентнісного підходу / Г. А. Полякова // Гуманітарний вісник ДВНЗ «Переяслав-Хмельницький державний педагогічний університет ім. Г. Сковороди». – 2013. – № 28, Том II. – С. 272-277.

2. Ревенко Т. В. Сутність та основні складники освітнього процесу у закладах вищої освіти України / Т. В. Ревенко // Розвиток системи державного управління в Україні. – 2018. – № 3 (62). – С. 44-53.

3. Рейтинги Вузов. URL: http://ru.osvita.ua/vnz/rating/25752/

4. Рейтинг університетів за показниками Scopus 2020 року [Электронный ресурс]. – Режим доступа : http://osvita.ua/vnz/rating/72780

5. РВК. Мониторинг эффективности инновационной деятельности университетов России [Електронний ресурс]. – Режим доступу: https://www.rvc.ru/upload/iblock/596/RVC\_ITMO\_05.pdf

6. The Times Higher Education. THE Impact Ranking [електронний ресурс]. – Режим доступу: https://www.timeshighereducation.com/impact-rankings-2020-methodology

7. The Times Higher Education. THE World University Ranking [електронний pecypc]. – Режим доступу: https://www.timeshighereducation.com/world-university-rankings-2021-methodology

8. Плюта В. Сравнительный многомерный анализ в эконометрическом моделировании. Москва : Финансы и статистика, 1989. 173 с.